

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1430 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	NO. CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/593,252	09/15/2006	Kiyoshi Yamaguchi	2936-0285PUS1	7012	
2292 BIRCH STEW	7590 08/12/200 ART KOLASCH & BI	EXAM	EXAMINER		
PO BOX 747		PELHAM, JOS	PELHAM, JOSEPH MOORE		
FALLS CHUR	CH, VA 22040-0747		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
		3742			
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			08/12/2009	ELECTRONIC	

## Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

mailroom@bskb.com

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/593,252	YAMAGUCHI ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Joseph M. Pelham	3742	

	Joseph M. Pelham	3742	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 22 July 2009 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPL	ICATION IN CONDITION FOR AL	LOWANCE.	
<ol> <li>M The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following in application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appe for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods:</li> </ol>	the same day as filing a Notice of eplies: (1) an amendment, affidavi al (with appeal fee) in compliance	Appeal. To avoid abar t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date	of the final rejection.		
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Ar no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (i MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f)	ter than SIX MONTHS from the mailing	date of the final rejection	n.
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1,138(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period red valued red 77 CFR 1,17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any pely received by the Office may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1,704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL.	ension and the corresponding amount hortened statutory period for reply origi	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Offic	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as
<ol> <li>The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compl filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed wi</li> </ol>	sion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	
<u>AMENDMENTS</u>			
<ol> <li>\( \)\) The proposed amendment(s) field after a final rejection, t.         <ul> <li>(a)</li> <li>\( \)\) They raise new issues that would require further core.</li> <li>\( \)\) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belov.</li> <li>\( \)\) They are not deemed to place the application in bett application.</li> </ul> </li> </ol>	sideration and/or search (see NO) v);	TE below);	
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a c	, ,	ected claims.	
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.1:			
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12		mpliant Amendment (I	OL-324).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):			
Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).		•	
7. \( \subseteq \) for purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s), a) \( \subseteq \) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:		l be entered and an ex	planation of
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>			
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to or showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary</li> </ol>	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea and was not earlier presented. Se	al and/or appellant fails se 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1)	s to provide a
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER</li> </ol>	n of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attache	ed.
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but	does NOT place the application in	condition for allowand	ce because:
12.  Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (13. Other:	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)		
	/Joseph M. Pelham/ Primary Examiner, Art U	nit 3742	

Continuation of 3. NOTE: Amended claim 1, filed 7/22/09, (not entered) now appears to read on US Pat. 1888571 (of record), element 6 meets the limitation of "flattened," barrel shaped pot," the "steam suction device" comprising the entire "radiator" structure "transecting" the flattened barrel, it is a "suction device" because steam passes from the reservoir 10 into tubes 15. The fain effects significantly cooler temperatures in the central tubes (figs. 1 & 2), hence condensation, and in such devices steam/air circulates: up the side tubes and down the central tubes, natural convenction results in steam/air entering the outer tubes by "suction".